Symbolic Computation of Conserved Densities, Generalized Symmetries, and Recursion Operators for Nonlinear Differential-Difference Equations

Willy Hereman

Department of Mathematical and Computer Sciences Colorado School of Mines Golden, Colorado, U.S.A. http://www.mines.edu/fs_home/whereman/ whereman@mines.edu

> Colloquium Talk, Physics Department University of Antwerp, Belgium Tuesday, July 1, 2003, 2:30p.m.

Collaborators: Ünal Göktaş, Mark Hickman Jan Sanders, & Jing-Ping Wang Students: Doug Baldwin, Jack & Ryan Sayers Holly Eklund, Paul Adams, Adam Ringler

Research supported in part by NSF under Grant CCR-9901929 Talk on WEB (MSRI): http://www.msri.org/publications/ln/msri/1998/scga/hereman/1

Outline

Part I: Purpose, Motivation, Definitions, Software demo

- Definitions: dilation symmetry, conserved densities (fluxes), generalized symmetries, recursion operator
- Typical examples
- Computer Demo
- Strategy
- Analogy between PDEs and DDEs
- Review of algorithm for densities of PDEs

Part II: Algorithms for Differential-difference Equations

- Conserved densities and algorithm for DDEs (Homotopy operator)
- Generalized symmetries for DDEs
- Recursion operators for DDEs
- Example: Ablowitz-Ladik lattice
- Application: Discretization of a combined KdV-mKdV equation

Part III: Software, Future Work, Publications

- Scope and Limitations of Algorithms
- Mathematica Software
- Conclusions & Future Research
- Publications

Part I Purpose, Motivation, Strategy, Demo

• Purpose

Design and implement algorithms to compute polynomial conservation laws, generalized symmetries, and recursion operators for nonlinear systems of differential-difference equations (DDEs).

• Motivation

- Conservation laws describe the conservation of physical quantities (linear momentum, energy, etc.).
 Compare with constants of motion (linear momentum, energy) in mechanics.
- Conservation laws help in the study of quantitative and qualitative properties of DDEs and their solutions.
- Conserved densities can be used to test numerical integrators.
- The existence of a sufficiently large (in principal infinite) number of conservation laws or symmetries assures complete integrability.
- Conserved densities and symmetries aid in finding the recursion operator (which guarantees the existence of infinitely many symmetries).

Definitions and Examples for DDEs (lattices)

• Nonlinear system of DDEs

(continuous in time, discretized in space)

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}}_n = \mathbf{F}(..., \mathbf{u}_{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_{n+1}, ...),$$

 $\mathbf{u}_n = (u_{1,n}, u_{2,n}, \cdots, u_{m,n})$ and $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, F_2, \cdots, F_m)$ are vector dynamical variables.

In practice: denote components of \mathbf{u}_n by (u_n, v_n, w_n, \cdots) .

F is polynomial with constant coefficients (parameters).

No restrictions on the level of the shifts or the degree of nonlinearity.

• Typical Examples

 \star The Kac-van Moerbeke lattice

$$\dot{u}_n = u_n (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}).$$

 \star The (quadratic) Volterra lattice

$$\dot{u}_n = u_n^2 (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}).$$

 \star One-dimensional Toda lattice

$$\ddot{y}_n = \exp(y_{n-1} - y_n) - \exp(y_n - y_{n+1}).$$

 y_n is the displacement from equilibrium of the *n*th particle with unit mass under an exponentially decaying interaction force between nearest neighbors.

Change of variables:

$$u_n = \dot{y}_n, \qquad v_n = \exp\left(y_n - y_{n+1}\right)$$

yields

$$\dot{u}_n = v_{n-1} - v_n, \qquad \dot{v}_n = v_n(u_n - u_{n+1}).$$

 \star The Ablowitz and Ladik lattice

$$i\,\dot{u}_n = u_{n+1} - 2u_n + u_{n-1} + \kappa u_n^* u_n (u_{n+1} + u_{n-1}),$$

is an integrable discretization of the NLS equation:

$$iu_t + u_{xx} + \kappa u^2 u^* = 0$$

 u_n^* is the complex conjugate of u_n .

Treat u_n and $v_n = u_n^*$ as independent variables and add the complex conjugate equation. Set $\kappa = 1$ (scaling) and absorb *i* in scale on *t*:

$$\dot{u}_n = u_{n+1} - 2u_n + u_{n-1} + u_n v_n (u_{n+1} + u_{n-1}),$$

$$\dot{v}_n = -(v_{n+1} - 2v_n + v_{n-1}) - u_n v_n (v_{n+1} + v_{n-1}).$$

 \star The Taha-Herbst lattice

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_n &= -(1+\alpha h^2 u_n + \beta h^2 u_n^2) \left\{ \frac{1}{h^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n+2} - u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} u_{n-2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{2h} [u_{n+1}^2 - u_{n-1}^2 + u_n (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}) + u_{n+1} u_{n+2} - u_{n-1} u_{n-2}] \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{2h} [u_{n+1}^2 (u_{n+2} + u_n) - u_{n-1}^2 (u_{n-2} + u_n)] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

is an integrable discretization of a combined KdV-mKdV equation

$$u_t + 6\alpha u u_x + 6\beta u^2 u_x + u_{xxx} = 0.$$

Discretizations the KdV and mKdV equations are special cases.

 \star The Belov-Chaltikian lattice:

$$\dot{u}_n = u_n(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}) + v_{n-1} - v_n,$$

 $\dot{v}_n = v_n(u_{n+2} - u_{n-1}).$

 \star The Blaszak-Marciniak three field lattice:

$$\dot{u}_n = w_{n+1} - w_{n-1},$$

 $\dot{v}_n = u_{n-1}w_{n-1} - u_nw_n,$
 $\dot{w}_n = w_n(v_n - v_{n+1}).$

 \star The Blaszak-Marciniak four field lattice:

$$egin{array}{rcl} \dot{u}_n &=& v_{n-1}z_n - v_n z_{n+1}, \ \dot{v}_n &=& w_{n-1}z_n - w_n z_{n+2}, \ \dot{w}_n &=& z_{n+3} - z_n, \ \dot{z}_n &=& z_n(u_{n-1} - u_n). \end{array}$$

 \star The relativistic Toda lattice:

$$\dot{u}_n = (1 + \alpha u_n)(v_n - v_{n-1}), \dot{v}_n = v_n(u_{n+1} - u_n + \alpha v_{n+1} - \alpha v_{n-1}).$$

• Dilation Invariance of DDEs

 \star The Kac-van Moerbeke lattice

$$\dot{u}_n = u_n (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}).$$

is invariant under the scaling symmetry

$$(t, u_n) \to (\lambda^{-1}t, \lambda u_n).$$

Weight $w(u_n)$ is defined in terms of *t*-derivatives.

Using $w(\frac{d}{dt}) = 1$ and $w(u_{n\pm p}) = w(u_n)$, $w(u_n) + 1 = 2w(u_n)$.

Hence, $w(u_n) = 1$.

 \star The Toda lattice

$$\dot{u}_n = v_{n-1} - v_n, \qquad \dot{v}_n = v_n(u_n - u_{n+1}).$$

is invariant under the scaling symmetry

$$(t, u_n, v_n) \to (\lambda^{-1}t, \lambda u_n, \lambda^2 v_n).$$

Weights $w(u_n), w(v_n)$ are defined in terms of *t*-derivatives.

Using
$$w(\frac{d}{dt}) = 1$$
, $w(u_{n\pm p}) = w(u_n)$, $w(v_{n\pm p}) = w(v_n)$
 $w(u_n) + 1 = w(v_n)$,
 $w(v_n) + 1 = w(v_n) + w(u_n)$.

Hence,

$$w(u_n) = 1, \quad w(v_n) = 2.$$

The **rank** of a monomial is its total weight in terms of *t*-derivatives.

• Conservation Law for DDEs:

$$\dot{\rho}_n = J_n - J_{n+1}$$
 on DDE,

density ρ_n , flux J_n .

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}(\sum_{n} \rho_{n}) = \sum_{n} \dot{\rho}_{n} = \sum_{n} (J_{n} - J_{n+1})$$

if J_n is bounded for all n.

Subject to suitable boundary or periodicity conditions

$$\sum_{n} \rho_n = \text{constant.}$$

First three density-flux pairs (computed by hand) for Toda lattice:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_n^{(0)} &= \ln(v_n) & J_n^{(0)} &= u_n \\
\rho_n^{(1)} &= u_n & J_n^{(1)} &= v_{n-1} \\
\rho_n^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2}u_n^2 + v_n & J_n^{(2)} &= u_n v_{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

• Generalized Symmetries of DDEs

A vector function $\mathbf{G}(..., \mathbf{u}_{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_{n+1}, ...)$ is a symmetry iff

$$\mathbf{u}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_n + \epsilon \mathbf{G}(..., \mathbf{u}_{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_{n+1}, ...)$$

leaves the DDE system invariant within order ϵ . **G** must satisfy the linearized equation

$$D_t \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{u}_n)[\mathbf{G}] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}_n + \epsilon \mathbf{G})|_{\epsilon=0} = \sum_{k=-q}^p (D^k \mathbf{G}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial u_{n+k}},$$

where $\mathbf{F'}$ is the Fréchet derivative of \mathbf{F} in direction of \mathbf{G} .

D is **up-shift operator**, D^{-1} is **down-shift operator**, and $D^i = D \circ D \circ \cdots \circ D$ (*i* times).

• Examples

 \star Kac-van Moerbeke lattice

$$\dot{u}_n = u_n(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}).$$

Higher order symmetries of rank (2,3)

$$G^{(1)} = u_n(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}),$$

$$G^{(2)} = u_n u_{n+1}(u_n + u_{n+1} + u_{n+2}) - u_{n-1}u_n(u_{n-2} + u_{n-1} + u_n).$$

 \star Toda lattice

$$\dot{u}_n = v_{n-1} - v_n, \qquad \dot{v}_n = v_n(u_n - u_{n+1}).$$

First three higher-order symmetries:

$$\mathbf{G}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{G}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} v_n - v_{n-1} \\ v_n(u_n - u_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{G}^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} v_n(u_n + u_{n+1}) - v_{n-1}(u_{n-1} + u_n) \\ v_n(u_{n+1}^2 - u_n^2 + v_{n+1} - v_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

• Recursion Operators of DDEs.

A recursion operator \mathcal{R} connects symmetries

$$\mathbf{G}^{(j+s)} = \mathcal{R}\mathbf{G}^{(j)}, \ j = 1, 2, ...,$$

s is seed. For r-component systems, \mathcal{R} is an $r \times r$ matrix.

Defining equation for \mathcal{R} :

$$D_t \mathcal{R} + [\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{u}_n)] = \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial t} + \mathcal{R}'[\mathbf{F}] + \mathcal{R} \circ \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{u}_n) - \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{u}_n) \circ \mathcal{R} = 0,$$

where $[\ ,\]$ means commutator, \circ stands for composition, and

$$\mathbf{F'}(\mathbf{u}_n) = \sum_{k=-q}^{p} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial u_{n+k}}\right) \mathbf{D}^k$$

p, q are bounds of the shifts, D is up-shift operator and $D^k = D \circ D \circ \cdots \circ D$ (k times).

 $\mathcal{R}'[\mathbf{F}]$ is the Fréchet derivative of \mathcal{R} in direction of \mathbf{F} :

$$\mathcal{R}'[\mathbf{F}] = \sum_{k=-q}^{p} (\mathbf{D}^k \mathbf{F}) \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial u_{n+k}}$$

Example 1

The Kac-van Moerbeke lattice

$$\dot{u}_n = u_n(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}),$$

has recursion operator

$$\mathcal{R} = u_n \mathbf{D} + u_n \mathbf{D}^{-1} + (u_n + u_{n+1})\mathbf{I} + u_n (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1})(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{u_n} \mathbf{I}$$

= $u_n (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D})(u_n \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{D}^{-1} u_n)(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{u_n} \mathbf{I}$

Note: $\rho_n^{(0)} = \ln(u_n)$ and $J_n^{(0)} = -(u_n + u_{n-1})$ are density-flux pair.

Example 2

The (quadratic) Volterra equation

$$\dot{u}_n = u_n^2 (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1})$$

has recursion operator

$$\mathcal{R} = u_n^2 \mathbf{D} + u_n^2 \mathbf{D}^{-1} + 2u_n u_{n+1}\mathbf{I} + 2u_n^2 (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1})(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{u_n}\mathbf{I}$$

Example 3

The Toda lattice

$$\dot{u}_n = v_{n-1} - v_n$$
 $\dot{v}_n = v_n(u_n - u_{n+1})$

has recursion operator

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} -u_n \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{D}^{-1} - \mathbf{I} + (v_{n-1} - v_n)(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{v_n} \mathbf{I} \\ -v_n \mathbf{I} - v_n \mathbf{D} & u_{n+1} \mathbf{I} + v_n(u_n - u_{n+1})(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{v_n} \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

The recursion operator can be factored as

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{HS}$$

with Hamiltonian (symplectic) operator

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{D}^{-1}v_n\mathrm{I} - v_n\mathrm{D} & -u_nv_n\mathrm{I} + u_n\mathrm{D}^{-1}v_n\mathrm{I} \\ -v_n\mathrm{D}u_n\mathrm{I} + u_nv_n\mathrm{I} & -v_n\mathrm{D}v_n\mathrm{I} + v_n\mathrm{D}^{-1}v_n\mathrm{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

and co-symplectic operator

$$\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{v_n} \mathbf{I} \\ \frac{1}{v_n} \mathbf{D} (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Key Observation

Conserved densities, generalized symmetries, and recursion operators are invariant under the dilation (scaling) symmetry of the given DDE.

• Overall Strategy

Exploit dilation symmetry as much as possible.

Keep the computations as simple as possible.

Use linear algebra

- * solve linear systems
- * construct basis vectors (building blocks)
- * use linear independence
- * work in finite dimensional spaces

Use calculus and differential equations

- * derivatives
- * integrals (as little as possible)
- \ast solve systems of linear ODEs

Use tools from variational calculus

- * variational derivative (Euler operator)
- \ast higher Euler operators and homotopy operator
- * Fréchet derivative
- * calculus with operators

Use analogy between continuous and semi-discrete cases

	Continuous Case (PDEs)	Semi-discrete Case (DDEs)
System	$\mathbf{u}_t = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_x, \mathbf{u}_{2x},)$	$\dot{\mathbf{u}}_n = \mathbf{F}(, \mathbf{u}_{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_{n+1},)$
Conservation Law	$\mathbf{D}_t \rho + \mathbf{D}_x J = 0$	$\dot{\rho}_n + J_{n+1} - J_n = 0$
Symmetry	$D_t \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{u})[\mathbf{G}]$ = $\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u} + \epsilon \mathbf{G}) _{\epsilon=0}$	$D_t \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{u}_n)[\mathbf{G}] \\ = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}_n + \epsilon \mathbf{G}) _{\epsilon=0}$
Recursion Operator	$D_t \mathcal{R} + [\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{F}'(u)] = 0$	$D_t \mathcal{R} + [\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{u}_n)] = 0$

Analogy PDEs and DDEs

 Table 1:
 Conservation Laws and Symmetries

	KdV Equation	Volterra Lattice
Equation	$u_t = 6uu_x + u_{3x}$	$\dot{u}_n = u_n \left(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1} \right)$
Densities	$ \begin{array}{l} \rho=u, \ \rho=u^2 \\ \rho=u^3-\frac{1}{2}u_x^2 \end{array} $	$\rho_n = u_n, \rho_n = u_n (\frac{1}{2}u_n + u_{n+1}) \\ \rho_n = \frac{1}{3}u_n^3 + u_n u_{n+1} (u_n + u_{n+1} + u_{n+2})$
Symmetries	$G = u_x, G = 6uu_x + u_{3x}$ $G = 30u^2u_x + 20u_xu_{2x}$ $+ 10uu_{3x} + u_{5x}$	$G = u_n u_{n+1} (u_n + u_{n+1} + u_{n+2}) - u_{n-1} u_n (u_{n-2} + u_{n-1} + u_n)$
Recursion Operator	$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D}_x^2 + 4u + 2u_x \mathcal{D}_x^{-1}$	$\mathcal{R} = u_n(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D})(u_n\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{D}^{-1}u_n)$ $(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1}\frac{1}{u_n}$

 Table 2:
 Prototypical Examples

Review of Algorithm for Conserved Densities of PDEs

- (i) Determine weights (scaling properties) of variables and auxiliary parameters.
- (ii) Construct the form of the density (find monomial building blocks).
- (iii) Determine the constant coefficients (parameters).
- (iv) Compute the flux with the homotopy operator.

Example: Density of **rank** 6 for the KdV equation

$$u_t + uu_x + u_{3x} = 0$$

Step 1: Compute the weights (dilation symmetry). Solve

$$w(u) + w(D_t) = 2w(u) + 1 = w(u) + 3.$$

Hence,

$$w(u) = 2, \quad w(\mathbf{D}_t) = 3.$$

Step 2: Determine the form of the density.

List all possible powers of u, up to rank 6 : $[u, u^2, u^3]$.

Introduce x derivatives to 'complete' the rank.

u has weight 2, introduce D_x^4 .

 u^2 has weight 4, introduce D_x^2 .

 u^3 has weight 6, no derivative needed.

Apply the D_x derivatives.

Remove total derivative terms $(D_x u_{px})$ and highest derivative terms:

 $[u_{4x}] \rightarrow [] \quad \text{empty list.}$ $[u_x^2, uu_{2x}] \rightarrow [u_x^2] \quad \text{since } uu_{2x} = (uu_x)_x - u_x^2.$ $[u^3] \rightarrow [u^3].$

Linearly combine the 'building blocks':

$$\rho = c_1 u^3 + c_2 {u_x}^2.$$

Step 3: Determine the coefficients c_i .

Use the defining equation

$$D_t \rho + D_x J = 0 \quad (on PDE),$$

Compute

$$E = D_t \rho = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial u_{kx}} D_x^k u_t = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \rho'(u)[F]$$

= $3c_1 u^2 u_t + 2c_2 u_x u_{xt}$
= $-3c_1 u^2 (uu_x + u_{3x}) - 2c_2 u_x (uu_x + u_{3x})_x.$
= $-(3c_1 u^3 u_x + 3c_1 u^2 u_{3x} + 2c_2 u_x^3 + 2c_2 u_x u_{2x} + 2c_2 u_x u_{4x}).$

Apply the Euler operator (continuous variational derivative)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-\mathbf{D}_{x})^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{kx}}$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \mathbf{D}_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{x}} + \mathbf{D}_{x}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{2x}} + \dots + (-1)^{m} \mathbf{D}_{x}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{mx}}.$$

to E of order m = 4. Result:

$$\mathcal{L}_{u}^{(0)}(E) = -6(3c_1 + c_2)u_x u_{xx} \equiv 0$$

So, $c_1 = -\frac{1}{3}c_2$. Set $c_2 = -3$, then $c_1 = 1$. Hence,

$$\rho = u^3 - 3u_x^2.$$

Step 4: Compute the flux J.

– Method 1: Integrate by parts (simple cases) Integration of $D_x J = -E$ yields

$$J = \frac{3}{4}u^4 - 6uu_x^2 + 3u^2u_{2x} + 3u_{2x}^2 - 6u_xu_{3x}.$$

- Method 2: Build the form of J (cumbersome) Note: Rank $J = \text{Rank } \rho + \text{Rank } D_t - 1$. Build up form of J. Compute

$$D_x J = \frac{\partial J}{\partial x} + \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{\partial J}{\partial u_{kx}} u_{(k+1)x},$$

m is the order of J. Match $D_x J = -E$.

Method 3: Use the homotopy operator (most powerful)
 Higher Euler Operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)} = \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} \binom{k}{i} (-D_x)^{k-i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{kx}}$$

.

Examples (scalar case, $\mathbf{u} = u_1 = u$):

$$\mathcal{L}_{u}^{(0)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - D_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}} + D_{x}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2x}} - D_{x}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{3x}} + \cdots$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{u}^{(1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}} - 2D_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2x}} + 3D_{x}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{3x}} - 4D_{x}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{4x}} + \cdots$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{u}^{(2)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2x}} - 3D_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{3x}} + 6D_{x}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{4x}} - 10D_{x}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{5x}} + \cdots$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{u}^{(3)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{3x}} - 4D_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{4x}} + 10D_{x}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{5x}} - 20D_{x}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{6x}} + \cdots$$

The flux is

$$J(\mathbf{u}) = \int_0^1 \sum_{r=1}^n j_r(\mathbf{u}) [\lambda \mathbf{u}] \ \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.$$

where

$$j_r(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} D_x^i(u_r \mathcal{L}_{u_r}^{(i+1)}(-E))$$

m is the order of *E*, and $j_r(\mathbf{u})[\lambda \mathbf{u}]$ means $\mathbf{u} \to \lambda \mathbf{u}, \ \mathbf{u}_x \to \lambda \mathbf{u}_x, \ \mathbf{u}_{2x} \to \lambda \mathbf{u}_{2x}, \text{ etc.}$

Demonstration (scalar case, $\mathbf{u} = u_1 = u$, $j_1(\mathbf{u}) = j(u)$): Compute J via the homotopy operator!

$$-E = 3u^3u_x + 3u^2u_{3x} - 6u_x^3 - 6uu_xu_{2x} - 6u_xu_{4x}.$$

i	$\mathcal{L}_{u}^{(i+1)}(-E)$	$D^i_x(u\mathcal{L}^{(i+1)}_u(-E))$
0	$3u^3 + 24uu_{2x} + 18u_{4x} + 12u_x^2$	$3u^4 + 24u^2u_{2x} + 18uu_{4x} + 12uu_x^2$
1	$-24uu_x-36u_{3x}$	$-48uu_x^2 - 24u^2u_{2x} - 36u_xu_{3x} - 36uu_{4x}$
2	$3u^2 + 24u_{2x}$	$18uu_{x}^{2}+9u^{2}u_{2x}+24u_{2x}^{2}+48u_{x}u_{3x}+24uu_{4x}$
3	$-6u_x$	$-18u_{2x}^2 - 24u_x u_{3x} - 6u u_{4x}$

Hence,

$$j(u) = 3u^4 - 18uu_x^2 - 12u_xu_{3x} + 9u^2u_{2x} + 6u_{2x}^2.$$

Thus, the homotopy operator gives

$$J(u) = \int_0^1 j(u) [\lambda u] \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}$$

= $\int_0^1 (3\lambda^3 u^4 - 18\lambda^2 u u_x^2 - 12\lambda u_x u_{3x} + 9\lambda^2 u^2 u_{2x} + 6\lambda u_{2x}^2) d\lambda$
= $\frac{3}{4}u^4 - 6u u_x^2 - 6u_x u_{3x} + 3u^2 u_{2x} + 3u_{2x}^2.$

Analogy PDEs and DDEs Conservation laws for PDEs $D_t \rho + D_x J = 0$

density ρ , flux J.

Compute $E = D_t \rho$.

To guarantee the existence of J, apply the Euler operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{k} \mathrm{D}_{x}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{kx}}$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}} - \mathrm{D}_{x} (\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{x}}) + \mathrm{D}_{x}^{2} (\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{2x}}) + \dots + (-1)^{m} \mathrm{D}_{x}^{m} (\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{mx}}).$$

to E of order m. D_x is the differential operator.

If $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}(E) = 0$, then E is a total x-derivative $(-J_x)$.

If $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}(E) \neq 0$, the nonzero terms must vanish identically.

E must be in the kernel of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}$ operator, or equivalently, E must be in the image of D_x operator.

Computation of flux J:

Apply the homotopy operator

$$J(u) = \int_0^1 \sum_{r=1}^n j_r(\mathbf{u}) [\lambda \mathbf{u}] \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.$$

where $j_r(\mathbf{u})$ is computed with

$$j_r(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{D}_x^i(u_r \mathcal{L}_{u_r}^{(i+1)}(-E))$$

with higher Euler operators (continuous):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)} = \sum_{k=i}^{m} {k \choose i} (-\mathbf{D}_{x})^{k-i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{kx}}.$$

Conservation laws for DDEs

$$\dot{\rho}_n + J_{n+1} - J_n = 0$$

density ρ_n , flux J_n .

Compute $E = \dot{\rho}_n$.

To guarantee existence of J_n , apply the discrete Euler operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}_{n}}^{(0)} = \sum_{k=-q}^{p} \mathrm{D}^{-k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{n+k}}$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{n}} + \mathrm{D}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{n-1}}) + \mathrm{D}^{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{n-2}}) + \dots + \mathrm{D}^{q}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{n-q}})$$
$$+ \mathrm{D}^{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{n+1}}) + \mathrm{D}^{-2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{n+2}}) + \dots + \mathrm{D}^{-p}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{n+p}})$$

to E with maximal negative and positive shifts on **u** are q and p. D is the *up-shift* operator, D⁻¹ the *down-shift* operator. Applied to a monomial m

$$D^{-1}m = m|_{n \to n-1}$$
 and $Dm = m|_{n \to n+1}$.

Note: D (up-shift operator) corresponds the differential operator D_x due to the forward difference

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial x} \to \frac{J_{n+1} - J_n}{\Delta x} \quad (\Delta x = 1)$$

If $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}_n}^{(0)}(E) = 0$, then E matches $-(J_{n+1} - J_n)$.

If $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}_n}^{(0)}(E) \neq 0$, the nonzero terms must vanish identically.

In practice:

Compute $\tilde{E} = D^q E$ (remove negative shifts) and apply

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}_n}^{(0)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p+q} \mathbf{D}^{-k} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_n} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}^{-2} + \dots + \mathbf{D}^{-(p+q)})$$

Computation of flux \tilde{J}_n

Apply the homotopy operator

$$\tilde{J}_n = \int_0^1 \sum_{r=1}^m \tilde{j}_{r,n}(\mathbf{u}_n) [\lambda \mathbf{u}_n] \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.$$

where $\tilde{j}_{r,n}(\mathbf{u}_n)$ is computed with

$$\tilde{j}_{r,n}(\mathbf{u}_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{p+q-1} (D-I)^i (u_{r,n} \mathcal{L}_{u_{r,n}}^{(i+1)}(-\tilde{E}))$$

with discrete higher Euler operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}_n}^{(i)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_n} (\sum_{k=i}^{p+q} \binom{k}{i} \mathrm{D}^{-k}).$$

Down-shift \tilde{J}_n by q steps: $J_n = D^{-q} \tilde{J}_n$.

Part II Algorithms for DDEs (lattices)Tool: Up and Down Shift Operators

 D^{-1} and D are the *down-shift* and *up-shift* operators. For a monomial m:

 $D^{-1}m = m|_{n \to n-1}$, and $Dm = m|_{n \to n+1}$.

Example

 $D^{-1}u_{n+2}v_n = u_{n+1}v_{n-1}, \qquad Du_{n-2}v_{n-1} = u_{n-1}v_n.$

Compositions of D^{-1} and D define an *equivalence relation*. All shifted monomials are *equivalent*.

Example

 $u_{n-1}v_{n+1} \equiv u_{n+2}v_{n+4} \equiv u_{n-3}v_{n-1}.$

• Tool: Equivalence Criterion

Two monomials m_1 and m_2 are equivalent, $m_1 \equiv m_2$, if

$$m_1 = m_2 + [M_n - M_{n+1}]$$

for some polynomial M_n .

Example: $u_{n-2}u_n \equiv u_{n-1}u_{n+1}$ since

 $u_{n-2}u_n = u_{n-1}u_{n+1} + [u_{n-2}u_n - u_{n-1}u_{n+1}] = u_{n-1}u_{n+1} + [M_n - M_{n+1}].$

Main representative of an equivalence class is the monomial with label n on u (or v).

Example: $u_n u_{n+2}$ is main representative of class $\{u_{n-1}u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}u_{n+3}, \cdots\}.$

Use lexicographical ordering to resolve conflicts.

 $u_n v_{n+2} \pmod{u_{n-2} v_n}$ is the main representative of class $\{u_{n-3}v_{n-1}, u_{n+2}v_{n+4}, \cdots\}$

• Algorithm for Conserved Densities of DDEs.

Three-step algorithm to find conserved densities:

- (i) Determine the weights.
- (ii) Construct the form of density.
- (iii) Determine the coefficients.
- (iv) Compute the flux with the discrete homotopy operator.

Example: Density of rank 3 of the Toda lattice,

$$\dot{u}_n = v_{n-1} - v_n, \quad \dot{v}_n = v_n(u_n - u_{n+1}).$$

Step 1: Compute the weights.

Require uniformity in rank for each equation:

$$w(u_n) + w(\frac{d}{dt}) = w(v_{n-1}) = w(v_n),$$

$$w(v_n) + w(\frac{d}{dt}) = w(v_n) + w(u_n) = w(v_n) + w(u_{n+1})$$

Weights are shift invariant. Set $w(\frac{d}{dt}) = 1$ and solve the linear system: $w(u_n) = w(u_{n+1}) = 1$ and $w(v_n) = w(v_{n-1}) = 2$.

Step 2: Construct the form of the density.

List all monomials¹ in u_n and v_n of rank 3 or less:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{u_n^3, u_n^2, u_n v_n, u_n, v_n\}.$$

For each monomial in \mathcal{G} , introduce enough *t*-derivatives to obtain weight 3. Use the DDE to remove \dot{u}_n and \dot{v}_n :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^0}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{t}^0}(u_n^3) = u_n^3, \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}^0}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{t}^0}(u_n v_n) = u_n v_n,$$

¹In general algorithm shifts are also needed: $u_n^3, u_n u_{n+1} u_{n-1}, u_n^2 u_{n+1}$, etc.

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u_n^2) = 2u_n v_{n-1} - 2u_n v_n,
\frac{d}{dt}(v_n) = u_n v_n - u_{n+1} v_n,
\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(u_n) = u_{n-1} v_{n-1} - u_n v_{n-1} - u_n v_n + u_{n+1} v_n.$$

Gather the resulting terms in a set

$$\mathcal{H} = \{u_n^3, u_n v_{n-1}, u_n v_n, u_{n-1} v_{n-1}, u_{n+1} v_n\}.$$

Introduce main representatives.

Example: $u_n v_{n-1} \equiv u_{n+1} v_n$ are replaced by $u_n v_{n-1}$.

Linearly combine the monomials in

$$\mathcal{I} = \{u_n^3, u_n v_{n-1}, u_n v_n\}$$

to obtain

$$\rho_n = c_1 u_n^3 + c_2 u_n v_{n-1} + c_3 u_n v_n.$$

Step 3: Determine the coefficients c_i .

Require that $\dot{\rho}_n + J_{n+1} - J_n = 0$ holds.

Compute $\dot{\rho}_n$. Use the DDE to remove \dot{u}_n and \dot{v}_n . Thus,

$$E = \dot{\rho}_n = (3c_1 - c_2)u_n^2 v_{n-1} + (c_3 - 3c_1)u_n^2 v_n + (c_3 - c_2)v_{n-1}v_n + c_2u_{n-1}u_nv_{n-1} + c_2v_{n-1}^2 - c_3u_nu_{n+1}v_n - c_3v_n^2.$$

Shift E by q = 1 step up (remove negative shifts n - 1). Apply

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}_n}^{(0)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_n} (\sum_{k=0}^{p+q} \mathbf{D}^{-k}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_n} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}^{-2} + \cdots)$$

to $\tilde{E} = DE$.

The maximal shift p + q = 1 + 1 = 2 on u_n . Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_n}^{(0)}(\tilde{E}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_n} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}^{-2})(\tilde{E})$$

= 2(3c_1 - c_2)u_n v_{n-1} + 2(c_3 - 3c_1)u_n v_n
+ (c_2 - c_3)u_{n-1}v_{n-1} + (c_2 - c_3)u_{n+1}v_n \equiv 0

The maximal shift p + q = 0 + 1 = 1 on v_n . Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_n}^{(0)}(\tilde{E}) = = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_n} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D}^{-1})(\tilde{E})$$

= $(3c_1 - c_2)u_{n+1}^2 + (c_3 - c_2)v_{n+1} + (c_2 - c_3)u_nu_{n+1}$
+ $2(c_2 - c_3)v_n + (c_3 - 3c_1)u_n^2 + (c_3 - c_2)v_{n-1} \equiv 0.$

Solve the linear system

$$\mathcal{S} = \{3c_1 - c_2 = 0, c_3 - 3c_1 = 0, c_2 - c_3 = 0\}.$$

The solution is $3c_1 = c_2 = c_3$. Choose $c_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, and $c_2 = c_3 = 1$: Step 4: Compute the flux J_n .

Method 1: Use equivalence criterion (simple cases)
 Start from

$$E = \dot{\rho}_n = u_{n-1}u_nv_{n-1} + v_{n-1}^2 - u_nu_{n+1}v_n - v_n^2.$$

Replace $u_{n-1}u_nv_{n-1}$ by $u_nu_{n+1}v_n + [u_{n-1}u_nv_{n-1} - u_nu_{n+1}v_n]$. Replace v_{n-1}^2 by $v_n^2 + [v_{n-1}^2 - v_n^2]$. Thus

$$E = [u_{n-1}u_nv_{n-1} - u_nu_{n+1}v_n] + [v_{n-1}^2 - v_n^2]$$

Group the first and second terms in the square brackets to match $[J_n - J_{n+1}]$.

Hence

$$E = [u_{n-1}u_nv_{n-1} + v_{n-1}^2] - [u_nu_{n+1}v_n + v_n^2].$$
$$J_n = u_{n-1}u_nv_{n-1} + v_{n-1}^2.$$

Method 2: Use the homotopy operator (most powerful)
 Discrete higher Euler operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_n}^{(i)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} \left(\sum_{k=i}^{p+q} \binom{k}{i} \mathbf{D}^{-k}\right)$$

Examples (scalar case, $u_{1,n} = u_n$):

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_n}^{(0)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}^{-2} + \mathbf{D}^{-3} + \cdots)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_n}^{(1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} (\mathbf{D}^{-1} + 2\mathbf{D}^{-2} + 3\mathbf{D}^{-3} + 4\mathbf{D}^{-4} + \cdots)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_n}^{(2)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} (\mathbf{D}^{-2} + 3\mathbf{D}^{-3} + 6\mathbf{D}^{-4} + 10\mathbf{D}^{-5} + \cdots)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_n}^{(3)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} (\mathbf{D}^{-3} + 4\mathbf{D}^{-4} + 10\mathbf{D}^{-5} + 20\mathbf{D}^{-6} + \cdots)$$

Similar formulas for $\mathcal{L}_{v_n}^{(i)}$.

The flux is

$$\tilde{J}_n = \int_0^1 (\tilde{j}_{1,n}(\mathbf{u}_n)[\lambda \mathbf{u}_n] + \tilde{j}_{2,n}(\mathbf{u}_n)[\lambda \mathbf{u}_n]) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}$$

where,

$$\tilde{j}_{1,n}(\mathbf{u}_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{p+q-1} (D-I)^i (u_n \mathcal{L}_{u_n}^{(i+1)}(-\tilde{E}))$$

$$\tilde{j}_{2,n}(\mathbf{u}_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{p+q-1} (D-I)^i (v_n \mathcal{L}_{u_n}^{(i+1)}(-\tilde{E}))$$

Note that p+q is the highest shift in \tilde{E} , and $\tilde{j}_{r,n}(\mathbf{u}_n)[\lambda \mathbf{u}_n]$ means $\mathbf{u}_n \to \lambda \mathbf{u}_n, \ \mathbf{u}_{n+1} \to \lambda \mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \ \mathbf{u}_{n+2} \to \lambda \mathbf{u}_{n+2}, \text{ etc.}$

Demonstration (vector case, $\mathbf{u}_n = (u_n, v_n)$): Compute J_n via the homotopy operator! Start from

$$-\tilde{E} = -DE = -u_n u_{n+1} v_n - v_n^2 + u_{n+1} u_{n+2} v_{n+1} + v_{n+1}^2.$$

To find: flux J_n such that $(D - I)J_n = -E$. Homotopy operator inverts the operator (D - I).

i	$\mathcal{L}_{u_n}^{(i+1)}(-\tilde{E})$	$(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{I})^{i}(u_{n}\mathcal{L}_{u_{n}}^{(i+1)}(-\tilde{E}))$
0	$u_{n-1}v_{n-1}+u_{n+1}v_n$	$u_n u_{n-1} v_{n-1} + u_n u_{n+1} v_n$
1	$u_{n-1}v_{n-1}$	$u_{n+1}u_nv_n - u_nu_{n-1}v_{n-1}$

i	$\mathcal{L}_{v_n}^{(i+1)}(-\tilde{E})$	$(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{I})^{i}(v_{n}\mathcal{L}_{v_{n}}^{(i+1)}(-\tilde{E}))$
0	$u_n u_{n+1} + 2v_n$	$v_n u_n u_{n+1} + 2v_n^2$

Hence,

$$\tilde{j}_{1,n}(\mathbf{u}_n) = 2u_n u_{n+1} v_n, \quad \tilde{j}_{2,n}(\mathbf{u}_n) = u_n u_{n+1} v_n + 2v_n^2.$$

Thus, the homotopy operator gives

$$\begin{split} \tilde{J}_n &= \int_0^1 (\tilde{j}_{1,n}(\mathbf{u}_n)[\lambda \mathbf{u}_n] + \tilde{j}_{2,n}(\mathbf{u}_n)[\lambda \mathbf{u}_n]) \; \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \\ &= \int_0^1 (3\lambda^2 u_n u_{n+1} v_n + 2\lambda v_n^2) \; d\lambda \\ &= u_n u_{n+1} v_n + v_n^2. \end{split}$$

Summary:

$$\rho_n = \frac{1}{3} u_n^3 + u_n (v_{n-1} + v_n), \qquad J_n = D^{-1} J_n = u_{n-1} u_n v_{n-1} + v_{n-1}^2.$$

Analogously, conserved densities of rank ≤ 5 :

$$\rho_n^{(1)} = u_n \qquad \rho_n^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}u_n^2 + v_n
\rho_n^{(3)} = \frac{1}{3}u_n^3 + u_n(v_{n-1} + v_n)
\rho_n^{(4)} = \frac{1}{4}u_n^4 + u_n^2(v_{n-1} + v_n) + u_nu_{n+1}v_n + \frac{1}{2}v_n^2 + v_nv_{n+1}
\rho_n^{(5)} = \frac{1}{5}u_n^5 + u_n^3(v_{n-1} + v_n) + u_nu_{n+1}v_n(u_n + u_{n+1})
+ u_nv_{n-1}(v_{n-2} + v_{n-1} + v_n) + u_nv_n(v_{n-1} + v_n + v_{n+1}).$$

• Algorithm for Generalized Symmetries of DDEs.

Consider the Toda system

$$\dot{u}_n = v_{n-1} - v_n, \qquad \dot{v}_n = v_n(u_n - u_{n+1}).$$

with

$$w(u_n) = 1$$
 and $w(v_n) = 2$.

Compute the form of the symmetry of ranks (3, 4), i.e. the first component of the symmetry has rank 3, the second rank 4.

Step 1: Construct the form of the symmetry.

List all monomials in u_n and v_n of rank 3 or less:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \{u_n^3, u_n^2, u_n v_n, u_n, v_n\},\$$

and of rank 4 or less:

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \{u_n^4, u_n^3, u_n^2 v_n, u_n^2, u_n v_n, u_n, v_n^2, v_n\}.$$

For each monomial in \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 , introduce enough *t*-derivatives, so that each term exactly has rank 3 and 4, respectively.

Using the DDEs, for the monomials in \mathcal{L}_1 :

$$\frac{d^{0}}{dt^{0}}(u_{n}^{3}) = u_{n}^{3}, \qquad \frac{d^{0}}{dt^{0}}(u_{n}v_{n}) = u_{n}v_{n},$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u_{n}^{2}) = 2u_{n}\dot{u}_{n} = 2u_{n}v_{n-1} - 2u_{n}v_{n},$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(v_{n}) = \dot{v}_{n} = u_{n}v_{n} - u_{n+1}v_{n},$$

$$\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}}(u_{n}) = \frac{d}{dt}(\dot{u}_{n}) = \frac{d}{dt}(v_{n-1} - v_{n})$$

$$= u_{n-1}v_{n-1} - u_{n}v_{n-1} - u_{n}v_{n} + u_{n+1}v_{n}$$

Gather the resulting terms:

$$\mathcal{R}_{1} = \{u_{n}^{3}, u_{n-1}v_{n-1}, u_{n}v_{n-1}, u_{n}v_{n}, u_{n+1}v_{n}\}.$$
$$\mathcal{R}_{2} = \{u_{n}^{4}, u_{n-1}^{2}v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}u_{n}v_{n-1}, u_{n}^{2}v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}v_{n-1}, v_{n-1}^{2}, u_{n}^{2}v_{n}, u_{n}u_{n+1}v_{n}, u_{n+1}^{2}v_{n}, v_{n-1}v_{n}, v_{n}^{2}, v_{n}v_{n+1}\}.$$

Linearly combine the monomials in \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2

$$G^{(1)} = c_1 u_n^3 + c_2 u_{n-1} v_{n-1} + c_3 u_n v_{n-1} + c_4 u_n v_n + c_5 u_{n+1} v_n,$$

$$G^{(2)} = c_6 u_n^4 + c_7 u_{n-1}^2 v_{n-1} + c_8 u_{n-1} u_n v_{n-1} + c_9 u_n^2 v_{n-1} + c_{10} v_{n-2} v_{n-1} + c_{11} v_{n-1}^2 + c_{12} u_n^2 v_n + c_{13} u_n u_{n+1} v_n + c_{14} u_{n+1}^2 v_n + c_{15} v_{n-1} v_n + c_{16} v_n^2 + c_{17} v_n v_{n+1}.$$

Step 2: Determine the unknown coefficients.

Require that the symmetry condition $D_t \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{u}_n)[\mathbf{G}]$ holds. Solution:

$$c_1 = c_6 = c_7 = c_8 = c_9 = c_{10} = c_{11} = c_{13} = c_{16} = 0,$$

 $-c_2 = -c_3 = c_4 = c_5 = -c_{12} = c_{14} = -c_{15} = c_{17}.$

Therefore, with $c_{17} = 1$, the symmetry of rank (3, 4) is:

$$G^{(1)} = u_n v_n - u_{n-1} v_{n-1} + u_{n+1} v_n - u_n v_{n-1},$$

$$G^{(2)} = u_{n+1}^2 v_n - u_n^2 v_n + v_n v_{n+1} - v_{n-1} v_n.$$

Analogously, the symmetry of rank (4, 5) reads

$$G^{(1)} = u_n^2 v_n + u_n u_{n+1} v_n + u_{n+1}^2 v_n + v_n^2 + v_n v_{n+1} - u_{n-1}^2 v_{n-1} - u_{n-1} u_n v_{n-1} - u_n^2 v_{n-1} - v_{n-2} v_{n-1} - v_{n-1}^2,$$

$$G^{(2)} = u_{n+1} v_n^2 + 2u_{n+1} v_n v_{n+1} + u_{n+2} v_n v_{n+1} - u_n^3 v_n + u_{n+1}^3 v_n - u_{n-1} v_{n-1} v_n - 2u_n v_{n-1} v_n - u_n v_n^2.$$

• Recursion Operators of DDEs.

Key Observation

 \star Recursion operator for the Kac-van Moerbeke lattice

$$\dot{u}_n = u_n(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}),$$

is

$$\mathcal{R} = u_n \mathbf{D} + u_n \mathbf{D}^{-1} + (u_n + u_{n+1})\mathbf{I} + u_n (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1})(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{u_n} \mathbf{I}$$

= $u_n (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D})(u_n \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{D}^{-1} u_n)(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{u_n} \mathbf{I}$

 D^{-1} and D are down and up-shift operators.

I is the identity operator.

D - I is the discretized version of D_x (PDE case).

 $(D - I)^{-1}$ corresponding to the integral operator D_x^{-1} (PDE case).

The recursion operator has rank 1. Indeed, compare the ranks of successive symmetries (ranks 2 and 3):

$$G^{(1)} = u_n(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}),$$

$$G^{(2)} = u_n u_{n+1}(u_n + u_{n+1} + u_{n+2}) - u_{n-1}u_n(u_{n-2} + u_{n-1} + u_n),$$

which are linked via $\mathcal{R}G^{(1)} = G^{(2)}.$

Recursion operator splits into $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{R}_1$.

 \mathcal{R}_0 has linear combinations of D⁻¹, D, I and $u_{n\pm p}$. \mathcal{R}_1 is of the form

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \sum_j \sum_k G^{(j)} (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \rho'_{(k)}$$

• Algorithm for Recursion Operators of DDEs.

Scalar Case

Step 1: Determine the rank of the recursion operator.

Recall: first two higher symmetries of Kac Van Moerbeke equation are

$$G^{(1)} = u_n(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}),$$

$$G^{(2)} = u_n u_{n+1}(u_n + u_{n+1} + u_{n+2}) - u_{n-1}u_n(u_{n-2} + u_{n-1} + u_n),$$

Hence,

$$R = \operatorname{rank} \mathcal{R} = \operatorname{rank} G^{(2)} - \operatorname{rank} G^{(1)} = 3 - 2 = 1.$$

Step 2: Construct the form of the recursion operator.

(i) Determine the pieces of operator \mathcal{R}_0

Compute the required shift (p) and linearly combine terms with D^{-1} , D, I and $u_{n\pm p}$.

Example: For the Kac-van Moerbeke lattice:

$$\mathcal{R}_{0} = (c_{1}u_{n-1} + c_{2}u_{n} + c_{3}u_{n+1})D^{-1} + (c_{4}u_{n-1} + c_{5}u_{n} + c_{6}u_{n+1})I + (c_{7}u_{n-1} + c_{8}u_{n} + c_{9}u_{n+1})D^{+1},$$

where the c_i 's are constant coefficients.

(ii) Determine the pieces of operator \mathcal{R}_1

Combine the symmetries $G^{(j)}$ with $(D - I)^{-1}$ and $\rho_{(k)}'(u)$, so that every term in

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \sum_j \sum_k G^{(j)} (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \rho'_{(k)}$$

has rank R. The indices j and k are taken so that

rank
$$(G^{(j)})$$
 + rank $(\rho_{(k)}'(u)) - 1 = R.$

Example: For the Kac-van Moerbeke lattice:

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = c_{10}u_n(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1})(\mathbf{D}^{+1} - \mathbf{I})^{-1}(\frac{1}{u_n}),$$

with c_{10} a constant coefficient.

(iii) Build the operator \mathcal{R}

Build $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{R}_1$.

Example: For the Kac-van Moerbeke lattice:

$$\mathcal{R} = (c_1 u_{n-1} + c_2 u_n + c_3 u_{n+1}) \mathbf{D}^{-1} + (c_4 u_{n-1} + c_5 u_n + c_6 u_{n+1}) \mathbf{I} + (c_7 u_{n-1} + c_8 u_n + c_9 u_{n+1}) \mathbf{D}^{+1} + c_{10} u_n (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}) (\mathbf{D}^{+1} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} (\frac{1}{u_n}).$$

Step 3: Determine the unknown coefficients.

Substitute in the determining equation, alternatively, require that

$$\mathcal{R}G^{(k)} = G^{(k+1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Solution of the linear system:

$$c_1 = c_3 = c_4 = c_7 = c_9 = 0, c_2 = c_5 = c_6 = c_8 = c_{10} = 1.$$

Final result:

Recursion operator for Kac-van Moerbeke lattice:

$$\mathcal{R} = u_n \mathbf{D} + u_n \mathbf{D}^{-1} + (u_n + u_{n+1})\mathbf{I} + u_n (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1})(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{u_n} \mathbf{I}$$

= $u_n (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D})(u_n \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{D}^{-1} u_n)(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{u_n} \mathbf{I}$

Matrix Case

Recursion operator (matrix) splits naturally in $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{R}_1$.

Entries of matrix \mathcal{R}_0 are linear combinations of $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_{n\pm 1}, \mathbf{u}_{n\pm 2}, ...)$ and $(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}^{-1}, ...)$ of rank R.

Matrix \mathcal{R}_1 is of the form

$$\sum_{j}\sum_{k} \mathbf{G}^{(j)} (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \otimes \rho'_{(k)}$$

where \otimes denotes the matrix outer product, and $\rho'_{(k)}$ is the Fréchet derivative of $\rho_{(k)}$.

Example.

The Toda lattice

$$\dot{u}_n = v_{n-1} - v_n, \qquad \dot{v}_n = v_n(u_n - u_{n+1}).$$

Recursion operator:

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} -u_n \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{D}^{-1} - \mathbf{I} + (v_{n-1} - v_n)(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{v_n} \mathbf{I} \\ -v \mathbf{I} - v \mathbf{D} & u_{n+1} \mathbf{I} + v_n(u_n - u_{n+1})(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})^{-1} \frac{1}{v_n} \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Example: The Ablowitz-Ladik Lattice.

Consider the Ablowitz and Ladik discretization,

$$i \dot{u}_n = u_{n+1} - 2u_n + u_{n-1} + \kappa u_n^* u_n (u_{n+1} + u_{n-1}),$$

of the NLS equation,

$$iu_t + u_{xx} + \kappa u^2 u^* = 0$$

 u_n^* is the complex conjugate of u_n . Treat u_n and $v_n = u_n^*$ as independent variables and add the complex conjugate equation. Set $\kappa = 1$ (scaling) and absorb *i* in the scale on *t*:

$$\dot{u}_n = u_{n+1} - 2u_n + u_{n-1} + u_n v_n (u_{n+1} + u_{n-1}),$$

$$\dot{v}_n = -(v_{n+1} - 2v_n + v_{n-1}) - u_n v_n (v_{n+1} + v_{n-1}).$$

Since $v_n = u_n^*$, $w(v_n) = w(u_n)$.

No uniformity in rank! Introduce an auxiliary parameter α with weight.

$$\dot{u}_n = \alpha(u_{n+1} - 2u_n + u_{n-1}) + u_n v_n(u_{n+1} + u_{n-1}),$$

$$\dot{v}_n = -\alpha(v_{n+1} - 2v_n + v_{n-1}) - u_n v_n(v_{n+1} + v_{n-1}).$$

Uniformity in rank leads to

$$w(u_n) + w(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}) = w(\alpha) + w(u_n) = 2w(u_n) + w(v_n),$$

$$w(v_n) + w(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}) = w(\alpha) + w(v_n) = 2w(v_n) + w(u_n).$$

For $w(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}) = 1$,

$$w(u_n) + w(v_n) = w(\alpha) = 1.$$

So, one solution is

$$w(u_n) = w(v_n) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad w(\alpha) = 1.$$

Alternatively, for $w(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}) = 0$,

$$w(u_n) + w(v_n) = 0, \quad w(\alpha) = 0.$$

The second scale helps eliminate terms in candidate density ρ . Conserved densities (for $\alpha = 1$, in original variables):

$$\begin{split} \rho_{n}^{(1)} &= u_{n}u_{n+1}^{*} \\ \rho_{n}^{(2)} &= u_{n}u_{n+1}^{*} \\ \rho_{n}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{2}u_{n}^{2}u_{n-1}^{*2} + u_{n}u_{n+1}u_{n-1}^{*}v_{n} + u_{n}u_{n-2}^{*} \\ \rho_{n}^{(4)} &= \frac{1}{2}u_{n}^{2}u_{n+1}^{*2} + u_{n}u_{n+1}u_{n+1}^{*}u_{n+2}^{*} + u_{n}u_{n+2}^{*} \\ \rho_{n}^{(5)} &= \frac{1}{3}u_{n}^{3}u_{n-1}^{*3} + u_{n}u_{n+1}u_{n-1}^{*}u_{n}^{*}(u_{n}u_{n-1}^{*} + u_{n+1}u_{n}^{*} + u_{n+2}u_{n+1}^{*}) \\ &+ u_{n}u_{n-1}^{*}(u_{n}u_{n-2}^{*} + u_{n+1}u_{n-1}^{*}) + u_{n}u_{n}^{*}(u_{n+1}u_{n-2}^{*} + u_{n+2}u_{n-1}^{*}) + u_{n}u_{n-3}^{*} \\ \rho_{n}^{(6)} &= \frac{1}{3}u_{n}^{3}u_{n+1}^{*3} + u_{n}u_{n+1}u_{n+1}^{*}u_{n+2}^{*}(u_{n}u_{n+1}^{*} + u_{n+1}u_{n+2}^{*} + u_{n+2}u_{n+3}^{*}) \\ &+ u_{n}u_{n+2}^{*}(u_{n}u_{n+1}^{*} + u_{n+1}u_{n+2}^{*}) + u_{n}u_{n+3}^{*}(u_{n+1}u_{n+1}^{*} + u_{n+2}u_{n+2}^{*}) + u_{n}u_{n+3}^{*} \end{split}$$

The Ablowitz-Ladik lattice has infinitely many conserved densities. Density we missed

$$\rho_n^{(0)} = \ln(1 + u_n u_n^*).$$

We cannot find the Hamiltonian (constant of motion):

$$H = -i\sum[u_n^*(u_{n-1} + u_{n+1}) - 2\ln(1 + u_n u_n^*)],$$

since it has a logarithmic term.

• Application: Discretization of combined KdV-mKdV equation.

Consider the integrable discretization

$$\dot{u}_n = -(1 + \alpha h^2 u_n + \beta h^2 u_n^2) \left\{ \frac{1}{h^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n+2} - u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} u_{n-2} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\alpha}{2h} \left[u_{n+1}^2 - u_{n-1}^2 + u_n (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}) + u_{n+1} u_{n+2} - u_{n-1} u_{n-2} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\beta}{2h} \left[u_{n+1}^2 (u_{n+2} + u_n) - u_{n-1}^2 (u_{n-2} + u_n) \right] \right\}$$

of a combined KdV-mKdV equation

$$u_t + 6\alpha u u_x + 6\beta u^2 u_x + u_{xxx} = 0.$$

Discretizations the KdV and mKdV equations are special cases.

Set h = 1 (scaling). No uniformity in rank!

Introduce auxiliary parameters γ and δ with weights.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_n &= -(\gamma + \alpha u_n + \beta u_n^2) \left\{ \delta(\frac{1}{2}u_{n+2} - u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}u_{n-2}) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{2} [u_{n+1}^2 - u_{n-1}^2 + u_n(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}) + u_{n+1}u_{n+2} - u_{n-1}u_{n-2}] \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{2} [u_{n+1}^2(u_{n+2} + u_n) - u_{n-1}^2(u_{n-2} + u_n)] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Uniformity in rank requires

$$w(\gamma) = w(\delta) = 2w(u_n), \quad w(\alpha) = w(u_n), \quad w(\beta) = 0.$$

Then,

$$w(u_n) + 1 = 5w(u_n),$$

Hence,

$$w(u_n) = w(\alpha) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad w(\gamma) = w(\delta) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad w(\beta) = 0,$$

Conserved densities:

For the combined KdV-mKdV case $(\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0)$:

Rank $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 (after splitting):

$$\rho_n^{(1)} = \alpha u_n + \beta u_n u_{n+1}$$

$$\rho_n^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\beta} u_n^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta} u_n u_{n+1} - u_n u_{n+1} + \alpha u_n^2 u_{n+1} + \alpha u_n u_{n+1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta u_n^2 u_{n+1}^2 + u_n u_{n+2} + \alpha u_n u_{n+1} u_{n+2} + \beta u_n u_{n+1}^2 u_{n+2}.$$

For the KdV case $(\beta = 0)$:

$$\dot{u}_{n} = -(\gamma + \alpha h^{2} u_{n}) \left\{ \frac{\delta}{h^{3}} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n+2} - u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} u_{n-2} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{2h} \left[u_{n+1}^{2} - u_{n-1}^{2} + u_{n} (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}) + u_{n+1} u_{n+2} - u_{n-1} u_{n-2} \right] \right\}$$

with $\gamma=\delta=1$ is a completely integrable discretization of the KdV equation

$$u_t + 6\alpha u u_x + u_{xxx} = 0.$$

Now,

$$w(\gamma) = w(\delta) = w(u_n), \quad w(\alpha) = 0.$$

Then,

$$w(u_n) + 1 = 3w(u_n).$$

So,

$$w(u_n) = w(\gamma) = w(\delta) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad w(\alpha) = 0.$$

From rank
$$\frac{3}{2}$$
 and $\frac{5}{2}$ (after splitting):
 $\rho_n^{(1)} = u_n,$
 $\rho_n^{(2)} = u_n(\frac{1}{2}u_n + u_{n+1}),$
 $\rho_n^{(3)} = u_n(\frac{1}{3}u_n^2 + u_nu_{n+1} + u_{n+1}^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}u_{n+2} + u_{n+1}u_{n+2})$
 $\rho_n^{(4)} = u_n(\frac{1}{4}u_n^3 + u_n^2u_{n+1} + \frac{3}{2}u_nu_{n+1}^2 + u_{n+1}^3 + \dots + u_{n+1}u_{n+2}u_{n+3})$
 $\rho_n^{(5)} = u_n(\frac{1}{5}\alpha u_n^4 - \frac{1}{2}u_n^3 - 2u_n^2u_{n+1} + \dots + u_{n+1}u_{n+2}u_{n+3})$
For the mKdV case ($\alpha = 0$) :

$$\dot{u}_{n} = -(\gamma + \beta h^{2} u_{n}^{2}) \left\{ \frac{\delta}{h^{3}} (\frac{1}{2} u_{n+2} - u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} u_{n-2}) + \frac{\beta}{2h} [u_{n+1}^{2} (u_{n+2} + u_{n}) - u_{n-1}^{2} (u_{n-2} + u_{n})] \right\}$$

with $\gamma = \delta = 1$ is a completely integrable discretization of the modified KdV equation

$$u_t + 6\beta u^2 u_x + u_{xxx} = 0.$$

Now,

$$w(\gamma) = w(\delta) = 2w(u_n), \quad w(\beta) = 0.$$

Then,

$$w(u_n) + 1 = 5w(u_n).$$

So,

$$w(u_n) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad w(\gamma) = w(\delta) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad w(\beta) = 0.$$

From rank $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{5}{2}$ (after splitting):

$$\rho_n^{(1)} = u_n u_{n+1},$$

$$\rho_n^{(2)} = u_n (\frac{1}{2} u_n u_{n+1}^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} u_{n+2} + u_{n+1}^2 u_{n+2})$$

$$\rho_n^{(3)} = u_n (\frac{1}{3} u_n^2 u_{n+1}^3 + \frac{1}{\beta} u_n u_{n+1} u_{n+2} + \dots + u_{n+1}^2 u_{n+2}^2 u_{n+3})$$

$$\rho_n^{(4)} = u_n (\frac{1}{4} \beta u_n^3 u_{n+1}^4 + u_n^2 u_{n+1}^2 u_{n+2} + \dots + \beta u_{n+1}^2 u_{n+2}^2 u_{n+3}^2 u_{n+4})$$

Part III Software, Future Work, Publications

• Scope and Limitations of Algorithms.

- Systems of DDEs must be polynomial in dependent variables.
- One discretized space variable (lattice point n)
- Program only computes polynomial conservation laws and generalized symmetries (no recursion operators yet). (Non-polynomial densities in progress).
- Program does not compute conservation laws and symmetries that explicitly depend on n.
- No limit on the number of equations in the system.
 In practice: time and memory constraints.
- Input systems may have (nonzero) parameters.
 Program computes the compatibility conditions for parameters such that conservation laws and symmetries (of a given rank) exist.
- Systems can also have parameters with (unknown) weight.
 This allows one to test lattice equations of non-uniform rank.
- For systems where one or more of the weights is free, the program prompts the user for info.
- Fractional weights and ranks are permitted.
- Lattice equations must be of first-order in t.

• Conclusions and Future Research

- Compute simple logarithmic and rational densities.
- Implement the recursion operator algorithm for DDEs.
- Improve software, compare with other strategies & packages.
- Add tools for parameter analysis (Gröbner basis, Ritt-Wu or characteristic sets algorithms).
- Introduce multiple sets of weights based on $w(\frac{d}{dt}) = 0$ and $w(\frac{d}{dt}) = 1$.
- Application: test model DDEs for integrability.
 (study the integrable discretization of KdV-mKdV equation).

• Implementation in Mathematica – Software

* P.J. Adams and W. Hereman

TransPDEDensityFlux.m: Symbolic computation of conserved densities and fluxes for systems of partial differential equations with transcendental nonlinearities (2002).

* H. Eklund and W. Hereman

DDEDensityFlux.m: Symbolic computation of conserved densities and fluxes for nonlinear systems of differential-difference equations (2002).

* Ü. Göktaş and W. Hereman

InvariantsSymmetries.m: A Mathematica integrability package for the computation of invariants and symmetries (1997). Available from MathSource

(Item: 0208-932, Applications/Mathematics) via FTP: mathsource.wolfram.com or URL

http://www.mathsource.com/cgi-bin/MathSource/Applications/

* Ü. Göktaş and W. Hereman

CONDENS.M: A Mathematica program for the symbolic computation of conserved densities for systems of nonlinear evolution equations (1996).

* Ü. Göktaş and W. Hereman **DIFFDENS.M**: A Mathematica program for the symbolic computation of conserved densities for systems of nonlinear differentialdifference equations (1997).

All codes are available via the Internet URL: http://www.mines.edu/fs_home/whereman/ and via anonymous FTP from mines.edu in directory pub/papers/math_cs_dept/software/

• Publications

- 1). P. J. Adams, Symbolic Computation of Conserved Densities and Fluxes for Systems of Partial Differential Equations with Transcendental Nonlinearities, MS Thesis, CSMines, Dec. 2002.
- 2). H. Eklund, Symbolic Computation of Conserved Densities and Fluxes for Nonlinear Systems of Differential-Difference Equations, MS Thesis, Colorado School of Mines, Dec. 2002.
- 3). Ü. Göktaş and W. Hereman, Symbolic computation of conserved densities for systems of nonlinear evolution equations, J. Symb. Comput., 24 (1997) 591–621.
- Ü. Göktaş, W. Hereman, and G. Erdmann, Computation of conserved densities for systems of nonlinear differential-difference equations, Phys. Lett. A, 236 (1997) 30–38.
- 5). Ü. Göktaş and W. Hereman, Computation of conserved densities for nonlinear lattices, Physica D, 123 (1998) 425–436.
- 6). U. Göktaş and W. Hereman, Algorithmic computation of higherorder symmetries for nonlinear evolution and lattice equations, Adv. in Comput. Math. 11 (1999), 55-80.
- 7). W. Hereman and Ü. Göktaş, Integrability Tests for Nonlinear Evolution Equations. In: *Computer Algebra Systems: A Practical Guide*, Ed.: M. Wester, Wiley & Sons, New York (1999) Chap. 12, pp. 211-232.
- W. Hereman, Ü. Göktaş, M. Colagrosso, and A. Miller, Algorithmic integrability tests for nonlinear differential and lattice equations, Comp. Phys. Comm. 115 (1998) 428–446.
- 9). M. Hickman and W. Hereman, Computation of Densities and Fluxes of Nonlinear Differential-Difference Equations, *Proc. Roy.* Soc. Lon. A (2003) in press.